[redacted]

From: DevelopmentControl
Sent: 23 March 2025 13:48
To: DevelopmentControl
Subject: Comments for Planning Application 25/00153/HHFUL

Categories: [redacted]

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 23/03/2025 1:47 PM from [redacted].

Application Summary

Address:	Living Accommodation 28 Main Street Farcet Peterborough PE7 3AN
Proposal:	New vehicle crossover
Case Officer:	Kevin Simpson

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	[redacted]
Email:	[redacted]
Address:	30 Main Street Farcet Peterborough

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Plan is not accurate to current layout, garage has already been moved giving access from Main Street for many vehicles, so needs are met for parking. A difference in garden height to road height means any permiable block paving would be ineffective on the gradiant required causing excess rainwater flowing into Field Terrace adding to the already high volume of water during rainfall. On road parking on Field Terrace would be greatly affected. Cars currently park on the path side (opposite to the planned driveway) this would not allow sufficient turning space to exit the drivewaywith cars parked in the current space. If parking was disallowed opposite the planned driveway with the road only being 5metres wide a vehicle leaving the planned driveway would most likely mount the pathway (being an unadopted road I would be liable for repairs to the path) If parking were reduced on Field Terrace then cars would have to park on a very busy Main Street, which already has a parking issue due to high volume. We object to the garden hedge being removed as it is a natural water barrier against high rainfall running into Field Terrace, leaving a drainage and flood risk if the hedge were removed. The Salvation Army Church is on Field Terrace and wish to park close to the Church for the elderly,

disabled and children [redacted] Therefore I strongly object to the proposal.

Kind regards