From: DevelopmentControl
To: DevelopmentControl
Subject: Comments for Planning Application 24/02056/FUL
Date: 22 April 2025 09:48:10

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 22/04/2025 9:47 AM from [redacted]

Application Summary

Address:	Toll Farm Wistow Toll Wistow Huntingdon PE28 2QL
Proposal:	Proposed self-build bungalow
Case Officer:	Jennifer Wallis

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
Address:	Glen Lyon Wistow Toll Wistow Huntingdon

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	This comment formally objects to the proposed development of a self-build bungalow on agricultural land. The application is inconsistent and misleading, implying the presence of a dwelling where none exists. The land is not currently developed, and although labelled a "farm," there is no evidence of an active farming operation but there will be displacement of livestock. The nearby unoccupied Wistow Farm, also understood to be owned by the applicant, is in disrepair and seemingly ignored in the proposal despite being more appropriate for redevelopment. The proposed site lies on the B1040, a high-speed road with a history of accidents. The access point is on a blind bend, and whilst the entrance to the land already exists, it is rarely used therefore increased traffic from a new dwelling would elevate the risk of collisions. During construction, the presence of heavy vehicles will further compromise road safety due to poor visibility and limited space. Local infrastructure is already under strain, with issues such as low water pressure, lack of mains sewerage, and frequent power outages. Adding a new residence would worsen these problems, contradicting planning guidance and sustainable development. The proposal would also result in the permanent loss of agricultural land, negatively affecting the rural character and natural environment. Neighbours would suffer from reduced privacy, increased noise, loss of greenery, and further potential health hazards due to an ignored vermin problem from the neglected Wistow Farm buildings. The existing farm structures, which are in a poor and unsafe condition, further diminish local amenity and property values. Given the safety, infrastructure, environmental, and amenity concerns-and the existence of a more appropriate site at Wistow Farm-strong objection to this application is raised.

Kind regards