[redacted]

To: Control, Development (Planning)
Subject: Objection to Planning at 5Bridge Terrace, London Road, St Ives, PE27 5ER
Date: 27 December 2024 09:48:38

Dear Sir/Madam

Proposal: Erection of rear extension/loft conversion at 5 Bridge Terrace, London Road, St Ives.

Firstly, I would like to state that the residents at 4 Bridge Terrace, London Road, St Ives, PE27 5ER, DID NOT in fact receive any letter informing them of the request for planning permission at 5 Bridge Terrace - stating deadline for objections as 25Dec, hence submitting are objections in line with the website date of 27Dec.

Once again, (see below) I am writing to **strongly object** to the aforementioned proposed erection, due to the negative impact that the current proposed works will have on the lives of [redacted]

These 'revised' application plans appear to be even more extreme and will have an even worse impact on the adjacent homes, in particular, at 4 Bridge Terrace, and therefore still affecting mental health and well-being of neighbours.

The proposed application would see a wall erected, even closer to [redacted]' border, than the previous planning application, thus blocking even more natural light to their home and garden. This would entirely remove their 'right to light', and being elderly citizens, and suffering with numerous health conditions, one of which renders [redacted] pretty immobile [redacted] their garden courtyard area is the only access they have to fresh air and natural light and this would be taken away from them, rendering them prisoners in darkness. Totally unacceptable and inhumane.

We are 100% against this whole proposal I would like the above, and below points, to be taken into serious consideration when the planning department meet to discuss the proposed extension at no. five.

Please confirm receipt of this letter.

Regards

[redacted]

Sent from Outlook for iOS

[redacted]

Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 8:17:50 AM
To: development.control@huntingdonshire.gov.uk
<development.control@huntingdonshire.gov.uk>

[redacted]

Subject: Objection to Planning Application Ref:24/01366/HHFUL

Planning Application Ref:24/01366/HHFUL

28th August 2024

Dear Sir/Madam

Proposal: Erection of single/two storey rear extension and loft conversion to include roof lights to front pitched roof and dormer windows to rear at 5 Bridge Terrace, London Road, St Ives.

I am writing to you [redacted] of 4 Bridge Terrace, London Road, St Ives, PE27 5ER, to **strongly object** to the aforementioned proposed erection, due to the negative impact that the current proposed works will have on the lives of [redacted].

Primary concern is the lack light to the neighbouring homes/gardens, in particular, to no.4 Bridge Terrace.
The proposed building is lengthy in size and will block out the much needed daylight and sunlight at no.4, not only to the garden area, but also to the veranda (where there will be wall built, almost 3 meters high) and this will also cause the already darkened internal living spaces (the bathroom, kitchen, lounge and bedrooms to the rear of the property) to have further reduced natural light, which would then either require electrical light source during the daytime or residing in very dark internal spaces, would could be a serious hazard to the elderly residents. Both are unacceptable. Natural daylight and sunlight are paramount to the health of the residents at no.4.

[redacted]

The proposed building would highly reduce amount of natural light to no.4 and this would in turn affect the mental health [redacted] Residents have a 'right to light' and this proposed extension would take that right away.

Secondly, we do not feel that the proposed plans are sufficient or in keeping with the traditional style and period of the property.

Example Ref L11/12 planning laws when
considering an application -
Use of materials not associated with the existing terrace and out of character features -
*The shiny panelling on the facades - will stand out like a sore thumb.
*The "Materials Key" on the plan, informs that the slate roof, gault brick and painted facias and soffits are chosen to match the existing terrace, with the exception in the "Zinc Standing Seam" which fails to match anything on the Victorian terrace and is hardly the "sympathetic material" described in point 11.6. It will be visually offensive, especially as it is used in such a large area around the dormer windows and the new bay window in the first-floor extension.
Not only will it be offensive to the immediate neighbours and residents of bridge terrace but will be an eyesore to visitors and those walking in the meadows.
*The large prominent set of Dormer windows which seems to be totally out of character with period of the terrace, again, an eyesore.
*The history (previous planning objections) of why there are no roof lights on the front of any house in the Terrace is not included.
*The proposed bay window itself is totally out of character for the terrace in both its very large size and its 'bay' style. All other windows along the terrace are flat to the wall. Bridge Terrace has listed buildings and is a conservation area so this would be totally forbidden.
To list but a few!!

Thirdly, the private access at the back of the houses is not a public road, but includes a right to travel over it, for delivery vehicles.
Space is very limited and construction vehicles for such a major project could cause problems for residents and for the road itself. Any construction vehicle would block the access to the majority of the properties - many of which park their cars at the rear.

The environmental and social impact upon the private lives of adjacent neighbours when introducing a living and social area some 10 metres - 15metres away from the main dwelling will have a far bigger impact, than the application suggests. In

particular the mental and physical health of the adjacent neighbours will be affected.

The residents at no.5 have made absolutely no attempt to reach out, or to inform neighbours of their intended plans, knowing full well this will have a major impact on all living in the terrace in particular, the adjacent neighbours.
[redacted] lived at no. 4 Bridge Terrace for over 50+ years, happily, with ALL their neighbours and feel extremely saddened and disappointed to have found out about the proposed extension, via the letter dated 9th August.

[redacted]

We are 100% against this whole proposal I would like the above points to be taken into serious consideration when the planning department meet to discuss the proposed extension at no. five.

Please confirm receipt of this letter.

Regards

[redacted]

[redacted]