[redacted]

To: DMAdmin
Subject: Re: PLANNING APPLICATION REF: 26/01956/PIP/PIP
Date: 16 November 2026 18:21:48
Attachments: image005.png

Correspondence address is
Apartment 8, Farrer Estate,
Wareham, BH20 6AT.

Regards,
[redacted]

Sent from Outlook for Android

From: DMAdmin <Development.ManagementAdmin@huntingdonshire.gov.uk>
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2026 3:09:15 pm
[redacted]
Subject: RE: PLANNING APPLICATION REF: 26/01956/PIP/PIP

OFFICIAL

Good afternoon,

Please could you submit a correspondence address to enable us to add your comments to our system and the public file.

Thank you.

Kind regards,
Beth

Development Management

Huntingdonshire District Council
Pathfinder House, St Mary's Street
Huntingdon PE29 3TN
Working Hours: Mon - Fri

OFFICIAL

[redacted]
Sent: 09 November 2026 13:06
To: DevelopmentControl <DevelopmentControl@huntingdonshire.gov.uk>
Subject: PLANNING APPLICATION REF: 26/01956/PIP/PIP

Importance: High

I note that following the regrettable success of his previous planning application, [redacted] to submit a further application for two further properties along Easton Road.

As previously expressed, it is unnecessary and foolish to attempt to increase the size of Easton beyond its present limits. As an Easton resident for nearly 40 years, I have seen the village change to the extent where I believe it cannot absorb any further development. I therefore wish to record in the strongest terms my objection to this proposal. The proposed site (for all three houses) would encroach upon valuable agricultural land at a time when our food security is already under considerable threat from water shortages and drought. The village sewage treatment system is not far from the proposed site and is already thought to be working to capacity, with unpleasant odours experienced from time to time. Whatever surveys may have been carried out in the recent past, climate change is now upon us and almost certainly renders previous surveys irrelevant. In the fairly recent past, there has been considerable flooding in the village both to the south and north of the village and there can be no certainty about the sustainability of further development which, in itself, adds to the cause of flooding. The field in question could be better employed to add to the availability of land for food production.

The planning application is outside the built development in Easton; the proposed access is not as shown in the supporting documents but would involve the destruction of part of an ancient hedgerow along with the associated wildlife habitat. Furthermore, the access would of necessity be directly opposite a residential road and the village bus stop. This is also the turning point for the school bus which has always reversed into The Lane because it cannot negotiate the double right-angle bends a few metres further along Stonely Road. As there are no pavements in the village, there can be considerable pedestrian activity on the roads within the village, especially children who wish to access the village playing field, and this would be a real and additional risk in the event of further development. Furthermore, all three of these proposed properties will ruin the wildlife habitat and destroy more hedgerows which are precious and respected environments for a variety of birds and small animals.

Traffic in Easton Road, especially when used as a diversion from accidents on the A14, tends to travel at or above the speed limit, at least until it reaches the speed monitor. Thie has always been the case, as I recall from my time monitoring traffic speeds in the past. Exiting the village is another major problem with more accidents every year at the A14/Easton Road junction.

The bus service to Easton is inadequate with only one or two buses a day, thus every dwelling generally has a car for every adult family member which adds considerably to the traffic attempting to join the A14. The junction to the A14 is notoriously dangerous with frequent accidents owing to there being no acceleration or deceleration lanes to the village.

There is little or no employment available within the village and no shops or other amenities.

I therefore strongly request that these applications should be rejected, as was a similar application in 2019. It was not permitted in 2019 and the circumstances surrounding this proposal are now somewhat worse than they were at that time, therefore approval would in my opinion be irresponsible and detrimental on all counts .

[redacted]

Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived