[redacted]

From: DevelopmentControl
Sent: 07 April 2025 17:43
To: DevelopmentControl
Subject: Comments for Planning Application 25/00456/S73

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 07/04/2025 5:42 PM from [redacted].

Application Summary

Address:	Little Paxton Parish Council 38 High Street Little Paxton St Neots PE19 6EY
Proposal:	Variation of condition 4 (Hours of Operation) of 22/00160/S73 to extend the opening hours of the public toilet in respect of 21/01141/FUL
Case Officer:	[redacted]

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	[redacted]
Email:	[redacted]
Address:	7 The Rookery Little Paxton St Neots

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Response to planning application 25/00456/S73 Dear Sir/Madam As owners of the property adjacent to the proposed planning application, (7, The Rookery), we would like to raise the following: - The proposal, as stated in the letter we received (dated 17th March 2025), refers to a previous planning application (21/01141/FUL) relating to the public toilet at 38 High Street, Little Paxton. However, in the first section of the current proposal, the location is stated as Little Paxton Village Hall. Subsequent sections indicate that the development has not yet started, but the building is complete and functioning. Is the application for the Village Hall or the Council Office or both? Can the applicants clarify which building is included in the application? - The proposal states the use of the Council Office for meetings (2 evenings per month,

capacity 8 people). This is a sensible request and we have no objection to the request.

- The proposal gives clear time constraints on the use of the Council Office for evening meetings. The current proposal gives no time constraints for 'Saturday use'. The same proposal (without constraints) will allow the Council to use the office on a Saturday at anytime (24/7). This is unreasonable for residents. There should be a proposed "time frame" for expected use of the premises with 'before and after proscribed' times on Saturdays that take into consideration of residents.

- The construction of a council office has already reduced parking in the "QE11 Playing field car park". Parking is already moving to The Rookery and causing issues due to a lack of consideration toward residents. The Council have not consulted with the residents and have made no provision in their application to ensure that traffic does not increase further.

- The application states that food and equipment will be stored 'on site' - Can the applicant confirm that this will be inside the building?

Regards

[redacted]
7 The Rookery

Kind regards