From: DevelopmentControl
To: DevelopmentControl
Subject: Comments for Planning Application 24/02342/HHFUL
Date: 26 January 2025 19:33:31

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 26/01/2025 7:33 PM from [redacted]

Application Summary

Address:	Hemingford Park Hall Hemingford Park Common Lane Hemingford Abbots Huntingdon PE28 9AS
Proposal:	Retention of pool building, basement car park, lift and enclosure, external courtyards, pond and associated hard and soft landscaping (revised siting), phased alterations to pool building (reduction in height), removal of staircase, removal of glazed balustrade to pond and alterations to fenestration of main facade
Case Officer:	Lewis Tomlinson

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	[redacted]
Email:	[redacted]
Address:	46 Common Lane Hemingford Abbots Huntingdon

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	I object to this application as it involves a householder request for full planning permission which is inappropriate. The design and scale of the development are commercially driven, which makes it unsuitable for a householder application. The property previously sought permission for a change of use to a commercial venue and whilst the applicants claim they have no intention of using it as an events venue, the design and features strongly suggest otherwise. A householder application is suitable for small alterations, brick colour, tiles, sheds for single dwellings, this application involves substantial changes already developed and does not meet this criteria. The development includes separate holiday lets and spa facilities, which are not aligned to a single home and are commercial features that go beyond what would be acceptable for a householder application. I do not understand why the LPA would find this acceptable. The hard landscaping, including a large paved area and driveway, is inappropriate for a householder development, especially in this protected setting. The design of the development undermines the heritage value of the listed building. Many features, such as the large entertainment terraces and hard standing areas, are completely out of character with the original application. As the LPA has already validated this application as a household application my

comments are likely irrelevant but I do not believe the application meets the requirements of a householder application.

The principle of development and planning that we all adhere to has to be upheld and are very clear. No one should be allowed to build buildings in the wrong place and not to an agreed design/size/purpose or tarmac over historic grounds and not expect any recourse. Planning and listed building requirements are well defined in the UK. If people would only adhere to these regulations it would save a great deal of public money and planning officers time.

Kind regards