Keepers Cottage
Cottage Farm
Hamerton
Cambridgeshire
PE28 5QW

FAO: Ms Wallis
Development Control Dept
Huntingdonshire District Council
Pathfinder House
St Mary's Street
Huntingdon
PE29 3TN

Date: 19th September 2025

Re: Planning ref 25/00107/FUL

Dear Ms Wallis,

Thank you for the amended plans for the above application.

We appreciate the applicant has altered the positioning of some of the parking in the amended plans and included tree screen planting along the existing track, both of which we consider to be an improvement.

However we continue to object to the position of plot B and its garden being moved even nearer to our property than the previous plans in February 2025. Both of these facts are detrimental to our privacy and enjoyment of our property causing a negative impact upon us.

We note a major reason for the previous application being refused (May 2022) was the fact that the development caused our "loss of privacy and overshadowing having a detrimental impact of residential amenity". With the latest amended plans this is still no better and in fact is significantly worse because plot B has been moved much closer to our boundary. In addition, its garden appears to be unfenced meaning it is too large (much larger than the other properties) and now actually adjoins our boundary and incorporates all of the affected trees.

In our opinion the development is too large for the site area to accommodate, and should be reduced to two detached and two semi-detached dwellings. This would mean the buildings and gardens could be moved further away from our boundary and from the trees, therefore not comprising our property and preserving mature trees and hedgerows.

From the Arboricultural Consultation, we understand that all the trees on the site should be both retained and protected throughout the construction process and afterwards. Trying to squeeze in five properties into this site will inevitably mean that some of the buildings (e.g. plot B) and building works will come extremely close to the canopy of these trees risking impact or damage to the trees.

If the number of the dwellings were to be reduced these could be more easily positioned further away from the trees and from our boundary, being more central within the plot.

For the above reasons we still object to the above application as its stands at present.

Yours sincerely