Planning Office (Huntingdonshire District Council)

Pathfinder House

St Mary's Street

Huntingdon PE29 3TN

[redacted]

Planning Application Ref: 24/02242/HHFUL

Dear Mr Simpson,

As the applicants we would like to comment on the received objections.

Firstly, we appreciate the previous application had some minor errors, but feel the comments made by objecting parties were excessive and somewhat unnecessary to both us and our architect.

We would like to note some objections are inaccurate or untrue.

We would also like to note **both objecting parties have existing rear structures which are larger than those we have proposed**.

Objections have been made that we have not consulted our objecting neighbours, suggesting poor conduct on our part. This is not true.

We consulted our neighbours throughout, including numerous discussions prior to submission and even printed out plans for their benefit.

Our initial plan with the "atrium" area was done very much in respect to [redacted] despite being an awkward area for us. We discussed four weeks prior to withdrawing the first application what our subsequent application may involve, offering them time to consider both.

We offered to discuss the revised application prior to submission and informed them the day it had been submitted, giving them as much time as possible to raise any concerns.

The multiple extensions of [redacted] are larger, longer, and wider than our proposed extension, with a second storey extension of almost 4m, adjacent to [redacted] which sits half a storey lower.

[redacted] have stated how the rear of their property is part of the original house. This extends further than our proposed extension, and if the original Victorian pitched roof had not been removed would also be higher than our proposed ground floor extension area.

Neither of these parties have used materials sympathetic with the terrace or Victorian heritage, yet have objected to us using zinc as a sympathetic alternative to lead.

We appreciate [redacted] have raised multiple objections regarding natural light and the importance of natural light [redacted]. However, [redacted] original rear bedroom window has been reduced in size [redacted], and all downstairs rear windows have compromised light due to the use of obscured glass and corrugated plastic sheeting.

Regarding access, most properties on the terrace have built sizable extensions using the rear access road. This includes [redacted] who have objected to us doing the same.

It is with hope our revised application meets all requested changes raised with the previously withdrawn application and is more in keeping with the aesthetics and heritage of the terrace.

Kind regards,

[redacted]