[redacted]

From: DevelopmentControl
Sent: 16 April 2025 16:23
To: DevelopmentControl
Subject: Comments for Planning Application 25/00589/EXTDET

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 16/04/2025 4:22 PM from [redacted]

Application Summary

Address:	8 Parkway St Ives PE27 5NS
Proposal:	Erection of single storey rear extension
Case Officer:	Josh France

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	[redacted]
Email:	[redacted]
Address:	6 Parkway St Ives

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Planning Application Reference 25/00589/EXTDET Comments/Objections to application - extension No 8 Parkway 1. Main objection is the proposal too large for the plot - doubling the size of the downstairs living area 2. If the proposal is built exactly as per the plan against the boundary line how can it be constructed without access to or damage to No. 6 3. How can the guttering be maintained without access to No. 6 4. The proposal of the new extension covers the existing drainage and access manhole. How is this to be addressed to avoid blockages backing up to No 6? Biodiversity

This extension will entail several very mature shrubs and fruit trees to be removed. The garden currently has several birds nesting who come back every year. There is also a hedgehog trail through the area from No. 8 through No.6 and No 4.

The Biodiversity Checklist was submitted on 31st March stating 'NO' to the question of protected species being affected, including 'reptiles' and that there was no pond within 250m of a pond. LIE

There is a pond in No. 6 and at that time was a pond in No 8 which had three batches of ready to hatch frog spawn. During the next four days that pond was filled in and the spawn disposed of. Both of these are/were within 250m.

Kind regards